

## **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

## PRESSURE BROADENING OF THE 50.873 AND 83.469 cm<sup>-1</sup> MOLECULAR OXYGEN LINES

K. PARK,"† I. G. NOLT," T. C. STEELE," L. R. ZINK, K. M. EVENSON, K. V. CHANCE, and A. G. MURRAY

"Department of Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1274, "NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681, 'National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80303, "Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A. and 'Queen Mary & Westfield College, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

(Received 17 November 1995)

Abstract—We report pressure broadening measurements of the 50.873 and 83.469 cm<sup>-1</sup> molecular oxygen lines at 293 K. Air broadening coefficients are determined with 13% accuracy. Published by Elsevier Science Ltd

We have used a tunable far-infrared (TuFIR) spectrometer to measure air pressure broadening coefficients of the  $(N = 9, J = 9) \leftarrow (N' = 7, J' = 8)$  (50.873 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the (15,14)  $\leftarrow$  (13,14) (83.469 cm<sup>-1</sup>) transitions of  $O_2$ . In atmospheric applications, accurate linewidth parameters are needed for spectroscopic determination of the line-of-sight atmospheric mass and the tangent height pointing. Well mixed gases of known concentration have been used for this purpose previously ( $CO_2$  in the mid-infrared and  $O_2$  in the far-infrared<sup>2.3</sup>).

TuFIR spectroscopy provides the very high resolution needed for accurate determinations of pressure broadening coefficients based upon well resolved line shapes. This technique has been used to measure the linewidth parameters of several transitions of HCl and OH to high accuracy. <sup>4.5</sup> The details of this technique, including the apparatus and precedures, have been presented previously. <sup>6.7</sup>

Since the molecular oxygen lines are weak magnetic dipole transitions, we used a 4 m long absorption cell. Also, we used an improved far-infrared sensor system built with a stressed Ge; Ga photoconductor element of high sensitivity and low noise<sup>8</sup> for the 50.873 cm<sup>-1</sup> line. Self broadening coefficients were measured for both transitions to an accuracy of about 3%. However, nitrogen broadening was difficult to measure. The signal-to-noise ratio dropped sharply as N<sub>2</sub> was introduced. This deterioration is reflected in the 17% error for the N<sub>2</sub> broadening coefficient for

Table 1. Pressure broadening coefficients  $\uparrow$  of  $O_2$  (10<sup>-7</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>).

cm ' Pa ').	
50.873 cm <sup>-1</sup> 293 K	83.469 cm <sup>-1</sup> 293 K
4.26 (13)	4.29 (13)
3.30 (57)	
3.50 (45)‡	4.35 (43)
	50.873 cm <sup>-1</sup> 293 K 4.26 (13) 3.30 (57)

†Half-width at half maximum;  $1 - \sigma$  uncertainties given.  $\ddagger_{7 \text{air}} = 0.79 \gamma_{N_2} + 0.21 \gamma_{O_2}$ .

 $(9.9 \leftarrow 7.8)$  line position = 1 525 130.648 (34) MHz

 $(15,14 \leftarrow 13,14)$  line position = 2 502 324.021 (39) MHz

<sup>†</sup>To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

the  $50.873\,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$  line. We could not separately measure the  $N_2$  broadening coefficient of the  $83.469\,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$  line. Consequently, we used bottled air to measure the air broadening coefficient directly. Our results are presented in Table 1.

The two measured self broadening coefficients are well determined and essentially equal. The poor signal associated with the N<sub>2</sub> broadening yields 10 and 13% errors in the air broadening coefficients. While this is an improvement over the previous values, the uncertainty is still too large to achieve the desired tangent height accuracy.<sup>2</sup>

Acknowledgements—The research at the University of Oregon and the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory was supported by NASA (NAG-1-1650, NAGW 1292). Research at the National Institute of Standards and Technology was partially supported by NASA (W 15,047).

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. C. Gille and J. M. Russell III, J. Geophys. Res 89, 5125 (1984).
- 2. K. V. Chance, W. A. Traub, K. W. Jucks, and D. G. Johnson, Int. J. of IR and MM Waves 12, 581 (1991).
- 3. B. Carli and J. H. Park, J. Geophys. Res. 93, 3851 (1988).
- 4. K. Park, M. D. Vanek, I. G. Nolt, J. V. Radostitz, K. V. Chance, K. M. Evenson, and D. A. Jennings, J. Molec. Spectrosc. 147, 521 (1991).
- 5. K. Park, L. R. Zink, K. M. Evenson, K. V. Chance, and I. G. Nolt, JQSRT 55, 285 (1996).
- K. V. Chance, D. A. Jennings, K. M. Evenson, M. D. Vanek, I. G. Nolt, J. V. Radostitz, and K. Park, J. Molec. Spectrosc. 146, 375 (1991).
- 7. I. G. Nolt, J. V. Radostitz, G. DiLonardo, K. M. Evenson, D. A. Jennings, K. R. Leopold, M. D. Vanek, L. R. Zink, A. Hinz, and K. V. Chance, J. Molec. Spectrosc. 125, 274 (1987).
- 8. A. G. Murray, M. J. Griffin, P. A. R. Ade, J. Leotin, C. Meny, and G. Simain, Proc. ESA Symp. on Photon Detectors for Space Instrumentation (ESA SP-356, December 1992).